

Converse of the Eilers–Horst Theorem

Anatolij Dvurečenskij¹

Received March 2, 1987

We generalize the theorem of Eilers and Horst, showing that any finite as well as any σ -finite measure on a quantum logic of all closed subspaces of a Hilbert space H of dimension $\neq 2$ is a Gleason one iff the dimension of H is a nonmeasurable cardinal.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important examples of quantum logic is a system $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of all closed subspaces of a (not necessarily separable) real or complex Hilbert space H . A measure on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is a map $m: \mathcal{L}(H) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that (1) $m(0) = 0$; (2) $m(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(M_n)$ whenever $M_n \perp M_m$ for $n \neq m$. The famous theorem of Gleason (1957) says that any finite measure m on a separable Hilbert space H , $\dim H \neq 2$, is in a one-to-one correspondence with a positive Hermitian operator T on H of finite trace via

$$m(M) = \text{tr}(TP^M), \quad M \in \mathcal{L}(H) \quad (1)$$

where P^M is the orthoprojector of H onto M .

Eilers and Horst (1975) proved that the assumption of separability is superfluous when the dimension of the Hilbert space is nonmeasurable cardinal [see also Drisch (1979)]. Recall that, according to Ulam (1930), the cardinal I is nonmeasurable if there is no probability measure ν on the power set 2^A of a set A whose cardinal is I such that $\nu(\{a\}) = 0$ for any $a \in A$.

In the present note we give a new characterization of nonmeasurable cardinals via Gleason measures.

2. EILERS–HORST THEOREM

Let \mathfrak{n} be a cardinal. We say that a measure m is (1) \mathfrak{n} -finite if there is a system $\{M_t: t \in T\}$ of mutually orthogonal subspaces of H such that

¹Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, 814 73 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia.

$H = \bigoplus_{t \in T} M_t$, $\text{card } T = \mathfrak{n}$, and $m(M_t) < \infty$ for any $t \in T$; (2) σ -finite if $\mathfrak{n} = \aleph_0$; (3) totally additive if for any system of mutually orthogonal subspaces, $\{N_a: a \in A\}$, $m(\bigoplus_{a \in A} N_a) = \sum_{a \in A} m(N_a)$; (4) a finite Gleason measure if it is expressible via (1).

Theorem 1 (Eilers and Horst). Any finite measure m on $\mathcal{L}(H)$, $\dim H \neq 2$, is a finite Gleason measure iff the dimension of H is a nonmeasurable cardinal.

Proof. Assume that any finite measure on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is expressible via (1). We claim to show that the dimension of H is a nonmeasurable cardinal. Suppose the converse. Let $\{e_t: t \in T\}$ be an orthonormal basis in H . Choose a probability measure ν on 2^T vanishing at any one-point subset of T .

A map m on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ defined by

$$m(M) = \int_T \|P^M e_t\|^2 d\nu(t), \quad M \in \mathcal{L}(H) \quad (2)$$

is a finite measure on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ with $m(H) = 1$ and $m(P_{e_t}) = 0$ for every $t \in T$, where by P_f we mean an one-dimensional subspace of H spanned over a nonzero vector $f \in H$.

Maeda (1980) proved that any finite measure on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of an arbitrary Hilbert space H , $\dim H \neq 2$, is expressible via (1) iff it is totally additive. Hence, a measure m defined by (2) is totally additive. Consequently,

$$1 = m(H) = m(\bigoplus_{t \in T} P_{e_t}) = \sum_{t \in T} m(P_{e_t}) = 0$$

where is a contradiction. Therefore, the dimension of H is a nonmeasurable cardinal.

The converse implication has been proved by Eilers and Horst (1975) [see also Drisch (1979)]. ■

In the following result we generalize Theorem 1 to σ -finite measures attaining infinite values. For this reason we need further notations. Let t be a symmetric bilinear form with a dense domain $D(t)$, that is: (1) $D(t)$ is a linear submanifold; (2) $t: D(t) \times D(t) \rightarrow C$ (C is the field of scalars) such that t is linear in the first argument and antilinear in the second one; (3) $t(\alpha x, \beta y) = \alpha \bar{\beta} t(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in D(t)$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in C$; (4) $t(x, y) = \overline{t(y, x)}$ for all $x, y \in D(t)$. Let $M \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and let $M \subset D(t)$. Then by $t \circ M$ we mean a symmetric bilinear form defined by $t \circ M(x, y) = t(P^M x, P^M y)$, $x, y \in H$. If $t \circ M$ is induced by a trace operator T , such that $t \circ M(x, y) = (Tx, y)$, $x, y \in H$, then we say $t \circ M \in \text{Tr}(H)$ and we put $\text{tr } t \circ M = \text{tr } T$, where $\text{Tr}(H)$ is the set of all trace operators in H . A bilinear form t is positive if $t(x, x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in D(t)$.

Lugovaja and Sherstnev (1980) proved that for any σ -finite measure m on $\mathcal{L}(H)$, $m(H) = \infty$, of a Hilbert space H with $\dim H = \aleph_0$, there exists

a unique positive symmetric bilinear form t with a dense domain such that

$$m(M) = \begin{cases} \text{tr } t \circ M & \text{iff } t \circ M \in \text{Tr}(H) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Since (3) generalizes the formula (1), any measure m for which there is a positive symmetric bilinear form t with a dense domain such that (3) holds is said to be a Gleason measure.

Theorem 2. Any σ -finite measure m on $\mathcal{L}(H)$, $m(H) = \infty$, $\dim H \neq 2$, is a Gleason measure iff the dimension of H is a nonmeasurable cardinal.

Proof. It is evident that any cardinal I is nonmeasurable iff there is no σ -finite measure ν on 2^T such that $\nu(T) = \infty$, $\nu(\{t\}) = 0$ for any $t \in T$ and $\text{card } T = I$.

Suppose that any σ -finite measure on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is a Gleason one and let the dimension of H not be a nonmeasurable cardinal. Analogous as in the proof of Theorem 1, we define a map m by (2), where now ν is a σ -finite measure on 2^T with $\nu(T) = \infty$ and vanishing at any one-point subset of T . This m is a σ -finite measure and, due to the assumption, it is a Gleason one.

From Dvurečenskij (1986) it follows that any σ -finite measure on an arbitrary quantum logic $\mathcal{L}(H)$, $\dim H \neq 2$, is a Gleason one iff it is totally additive. This gives the contradiction.

The converse implication follows from Theorem 6 of Dvurečenskij (1986). ■

Finally we note an interesting fact which has a connection with nonmeasurable cardinals. It is known, due to Dvurečenskij (1987), that there are a Hilbert space H of infinite nonmeasurable cardinality and a positive symmetric bilinear form t with a dense domain such that t determines via (3) a Gleason measure m with $m(H) = \infty$ and which is not \mathbf{n} -finite for any cardinal \mathbf{n} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am especially indebted to prof. D. H. Fremlin from the University of Essex for his valuable comments concerning formula (2).

REFERENCES

- Drisc, T. (1979). Generalization of Gleason's theorem, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **18**, 239–243.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1986). Generalization of Maeda's theorem, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **25**, 1117–1124.
- Dvurečenskij, A. (1987). Note on construction of unbounded measures on a nonseparable Hilbert space quantum logic, Submitted for publication.

- Eilers, M., and Horst, E. (1975). The theorem of Gleason for nonseparable Hilbert space, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **13**, 419–424.
- Gleason, A. M. (1957). Measures on the closed subspaces of a Hilbert space, *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*, **6**, 885–893.
- Lugovaja, G. D., and Sherstnev, A. N. (1980). On the Gleason theorem for unbounded measures, *Izvestija vuzov-Matematika*, **1980**(12), 30–32 (in Russian).
- Maeda, S. (1980). *Lattice Theory and Quantum Logic*, Mahishoten, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Ulam, S. (1930). Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengelehre, *Fundamenta Mathematica* **16**, 140–150.